



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,243	03/09/2004	John L. Toner	17066.4.1.	2149	
57360 WORKMAN 1	7590 10/02/2007 NVDEGGER		EXAMINER		
1000 EAGLE	GATE TOWER,		KENNEDY	KENNEDY, SHARON E	
60 EAST SOU SALT LAKE (TTH TEMPLE CITY, UT 84111		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	•	1615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/796,243	TONER, JOHN	,	
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•	
. •		Sharon E. Kennedy	1615		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Renly	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DISSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH a, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	• •				
1)□ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowarclosed in accordance with the practice under the state of the stat	s action is non-final.	•		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	wn from consideration.			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ction is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).	
,	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		nmary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Mail Date rmal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-25, 53-60, drawn to an interventional component, classified in class 424, subclass 422.
- II. Claims 26-52, drawn to a method of manufacturing a medical device, classified in class 427, subclass 2.25.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by various processes such as spraying, dipping, sputtering, etc., and the various beneficial agents and hydration inhibitors do not have to be applied together as a mixture as set forth in the process. They could be applied separately.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1615

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election

This application contains a disclosure including claims directed to the following patentably distinct species containing the various embodiments described below:

Beneficial Agent: Applicant must select one beneficial agent from applicant's paragraph [0053].

Hydration Inhibitor: Applicant must select one hydration inhibitor from either paragraph [0053] (representing the hydration inhibitor as a beneficial agent), or from claim 16 (representing the hydration inhibitor as an addition), or from claim 17 (representing the hydration inhibitor as a polymer). For example, choosing phosphorylcholine as the hydration inhibitor would be accepted.

<u>Interventional Component:</u> Applicant must select one of the interventional components of claim 24.

Applicant must select one embodiment from each of the sets of embodiments above to form one specie.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,243

Art Unit: 1615

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented

at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse of the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

A telephone call was made to Fraser D. Roy on September 28, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon E. Kennedy whose telephone number is 571/272-4948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,243 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on 571/272-8373.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Sharon E. Kennedy/ Sharon E. Kennedy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1615